UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,040	03/11/2004	Victor J. Griswold	72255/33241	4961
	7590 08/13/200 IS & WEST LLP	08/13/2008 Г.L.Р	EXAMINER	
1150 HUNTING	GTON BUILDING		NGUYEN, HANH N	
925 EUCLID A CLEVELAND,	OH 44115-1414		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com mary.erne@tuckerellis.com

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/798	,040	GRISWOLD, VICTOR J.		
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
		Hanh N	guyen	2616		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE WARRENGE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAIN STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAIN STATE OF THE MAIN	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. In tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a r will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this of the capacity of the capaci		
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practic	2b)∏ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matt	-	e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7) 8)	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers	re withdrawn from o				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to a specific specif	a) accepted or ction to the drawing(s the correction is requ	) be held in abeyan uired if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t <b>(s)</b> e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

## **Double Patenting**

**DETAILED ACTION** 

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 23, 24, 26 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 32, 33 of U.S. Patent No. 7,362,757 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the Patent discloses similar teachings as compared to those in the Application. The disclosed teachings are tracking, at an access point, multicast data streams for which all associated stations support low packet delivery latency; wherein the low-latency station is in 802.11 active operation. The multicast data stream is transmitted to associated stations operating on the same

VLAN; transmitting the multicast data stream to all low latency stations. The Patent further discloses stations operating in active mode and power-saving.

Even though the Patent does not exactly disclose limitations peforming similar functions in world by word. But the claimed language as shown in the Patent has functionally perform similar inventions as required by the the application. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the ar that the Patent functionally discloses similar limitations as shown in the Application. The advantage of monitoring stations in VLANs is to transmit packets to active stations and buffers packets for stations in power saving mode.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Liu (US Pat. 7245946 B2);

Huang et al. (US Pat. 6041358);

Beach (US Pat. 7126945 B2).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272 3092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 to 4:30PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached on 571 272 2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,040 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Hanh Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2616.